

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,528 10/31/2003		10/31/2003	Ryo Nakagaki	16869N-099100US	4228	
20350	20350 7590 10/02/2006			EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND A RO CENTER	CHEN, KIN CHAN			
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765		

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/699,528	NAKAGAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Kin-Chan Chen	1765	

	Kin-Chan Chen	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH		•				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month partner term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered i	hanausa			
a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· ———	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a 🔻			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<i>.</i>				
13. Other:		Y CAPIT				
		Kin-Chan Chen				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed amendment (claim 16) raises new issues.